



Spire Inc.
700 Market St
St. Louis, MO 63101

April 14, 2022

Mr. Gregory Ochs
Director, Central Region
Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration

RE: CPF No. 3-2022-033-NOPV

Dear Mr. Ochs:

Pursuant to your March 17, 2022 letter to me, please see Spire's attached response for the 2021 Control Room Management audit of the Spire STL and NGL Pipelines. The civil penalty payment of \$31,100 for item number 5 is in the process of being paid.

If you have any additional questions or comments, please contact me at (314) 349-2972 or Mr. Bob Gardner at (205) 365-7846.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, reading "Craig R. Hoeflerlin", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Craig Hoeflerlin
Vice President, Operations Services and SMS

Enclosures

CC: Mr. Bob Gardner
Mr. Alex Grewach
Mr. Randy Wilson
Mr. David Yonce

1. 195.446 Control Room Management.

(a)....

(c) *Provide adequate information.* Each operator must provide its controllers with the information, tools, processes and procedures necessary for the controllers to carry out the roles and responsibilities the operator has defined by performing each of the following:

(1) Implement API RP 1165 (incorporated by reference, *see* §195.3) whenever a SCADA system is added, expanded or replaced, unless the operator demonstrates that certain provisions of API RP 1165 are not practical for the SCADA system used;

Spire failed to verify, through records, it implemented API RP 1165 when the Spire NGL system was added to the existing console that monitored, operated and controlled the Spire Gas Transmission system. The operator did not demonstrate that certain provisions of API RP 1165 are not practical for the SCADA system used.

2. 195.446 Control room management.

(a)....

(c) *Provide adequate information.* Each operator must provide its controllers with the information, tools, processes and procedures necessary for the controllers to carry out the roles and responsibilities the operator has defined by performing each of the following:

(1)....

(2) Conduct a point-to-point verification between SCADA displays and related field equipment when field equipment is added or moved and when other changes that affect pipeline safety are made to field equipment or SCADA displays;

Spire failed to complete an adequate point-to-point verification (PPV) between SCADA displays and related field equipment when field equipment was added or moved and when other changes that affect pipeline safety were made to field equipment or SCADA displays. The point-to-point documentation only captured date, time, tag, description, field tech, controller, field value, HMI value (auto populate) and notes. The operator failed to include in the documentation alarm set point verification, alarm description verification, alarm priority verification, alarm presentation verification, screen(s) verification (presents properly on all screens). It was explained that this was due to the auto populate for the electronic form not including these. Additionally, analog values and status points did not match. Spire failed to provide follow-up and documentation of an adequate verification following failed PPV.

3. 195.446 Control room management.

(a)....

(c) *Provide adequate information.* Each operator must provide its controllers with the information, tools, processes and procedures necessary for the controllers to carry out the roles and responsibilities the operator has defined by performing each of the following:

(1)....

(2)....

(3) Test and verify an internal communication plan to provide adequate means for manual operation of the pipeline safely, at least once each calendar year not to exceed 15 months;

Spire failed to complete a test of their internal communication plan in 2020. The first test should have been completed in November 2020, one year after anniversary of the pipeline and control room start up.

4. 195.446 Control room management.

(a)....

(e) Alarm management. Each operator using a SCADA system must have a written alarm management plan to provide for effective controller response to alarms. An operator's plan must include provisions to:

(1) Review SCADA safety-related alarm operations using a process that ensures alarms are accurate and support safe pipeline operations;

Spire failed to adequately implement safety related points in their SCADA system. The Spire CRM Plan Section 7.2 identified all pressure points and odorizers as safety related points. The conditions of pressure HH, H, L and LL were identified as safety related alarms. It also identified pressure Rate of Change (ROC) and odorizer pump failure as safety related alarms.

A review of the master data base identified valves were not properly implemented as well as gas detect alarms. Some pressure points were identified as "False" for safety related point/alarm even though the procedure stated all pressure points were safety related.

5. 195.446 Control room management.

(a)....

(e) Alarm management. Each operator using a SCADA system must have a written alarm management plan to provide for effective controller response to alarms. An operator's plan must include provisions to:

(1)...

(2)...

(3) Verify the correct safety-related alarm set-point values and alarm descriptions when associated field instruments are calibrated or changed and at least once each calendar year, but at intervals not to exceed 15 months.

Spire failed to verify between the field and control room correct safety-related alarm set-point values and alarm descriptions in SCADA when calibrations were performed in the field. Also, they failed to include in their CRM Plan a procedure to coordinate with the field to meet all the requirements of this section. Spire did not have a process implemented to complete the required verifications once they incorporated the hazardous liquid pipeline in the control room. The operator was performing calibrations in the field, but these were not in coordination with documentation in the control room.

Proposed Civil Penalty

Under 49 U.S.C. § 60122 and 49 CFR § 190.223, you are subject to a civil penalty not to exceed \$225,134 per violation per day the violation persists, up to a maximum of \$2,251,334 for a related series of violations. For violation occurring on or after January 11, 2021 and before May 3, 2021, the maximum penalty may not exceed \$222,504 per violation per day the violation persists, up to a maximum of \$2,225,034 for a related series of violations. For violation occurring on or after July 31, 2019 and before January 11, 2021, the maximum penalty may not exceed \$218,647 per violation per day the violation persists, up to a maximum of \$2,186,465 for a related series of violations. For violation occurring on or after November 27, 2018 and before July 31, 2019, the maximum penalty may not exceed \$213,268 per violation per day, with a maximum penalty not to exceed \$2,132,679. For violation occurring on or after

November 2, 2015 and before November 27, 2018, the maximum penalty may not exceed \$209,002 per violation per day, with a maximum penalty not to exceed \$2,090,022.

We have reviewed the circumstances and supporting documentation involved for the above probable violations and recommend that you be preliminarily assessed a civil penalty of \$31,100 as follows:

<u>Item number</u>	<u>PENALTY</u>
5	\$31,100

Spire Response: Spire agrees not to contest the allegations for Item number 5 and will pay the proposed civil penalty.

Warning Items

With respect to items 2 and 3 we have reviewed the circumstances and supporting documents involved in this case and have decided not to conduct additional enforcement action or penalty assessment proceedings at this time. We advise you to promptly correct these items. Failure to do so may result in additional enforcement action.

Proposed Compliance Order

With respect to items 1 and 4 pursuant to 49 U.S.C. § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration proposes to issue a Compliance Order to Spire NGL. Please refer to the *Proposed Compliance Order*, which is enclosed and made a part of this Notice.

Spire Response: Spire will take the actions noted in the Proposed Compliance Order for item numbers 1 and 4.

PROPOSED COMPLIANCE ORDER

Pursuant to 49 United States Code § 60118, the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (PHMSA) proposes to issue to Spire NGL a Compliance Order incorporating the following remedial requirements to ensure the compliance of Spire NGL with the pipeline safety regulations:

A. In regard to Item 1 of the Notice, pertaining to verifying Spire implemented API RP 1165 when the Spire NGL system was added to the existing gas transmission console, Spire must complete an audit of their SCADA system against the API RP 1165 as incorporated by reference. Any findings from the audit, must be corrected in the SCADA system to be compliant with §195.446 (c)(2) unless the operator demonstrates that certain provisions of the API RP 1165 are not practical for the SCADA system used. This must be completed within 30 days of receipt of the Final Order.

B. In regard to Item 4 of the Notice, pertaining to Spire's failure to adequately implement safety related points in their SCADA system, Spire must define safety related points and alarms, review the master SCADA database and correctly identify those points to designate Safety Related. Additionally, the designation of safety related must be readily available to the controllers for review and alarm handling practices within 90 days of receipt of the Final Order.

C. It is requested (not mandated) that Spire maintain documentation of the safety improvement costs associated with fulfilling this Compliance Order and submit the total to Gregory A. Ochs, Director, Central Region, Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration. It is requested that these costs be reported in two categories: 1) total cost associated with preparation/revision of plans, procedures, studies and analyses, and 2) total cost associated with replacements, additions and other changes to pipeline infrastructure.